arXiv:quant-ph/0112156v6 19 Feb 2010

Quantum theory for the binomial model in finance theory

CHEN Zeqian*

(Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, CAS, P.O.Box 71010, Wuhan 430071, China)

Abstract. In this paper, a quantum model for the binomial market in finance is proposed. We show that its risk-neutral world exhibits an intriguing structure as a disk in the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^3$, whose radius is a function of the risk-free interest rate with two thresholds which prevent arbitrage opportunities from this quantum market. Furthermore, from the quantum mechanical point of view we re-deduce the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula by considering Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics of the system of N distinguishable particles.

Key words. Binomial markets, quantum models, Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, options, risk-neutral world.

1 Introduction

Usually a problem which has two outcomes is said to be binomial. There are many binomial problems in nature, such as the game of a coin toss, photon's polarization and so on. It is well known that the throw of a coin can be modelled by classical random variables, as called Bernoulli's random variables in probability theory, while (perhaps less well known) the mechanism of photon's polarization however must be described by quantum mechanics. There is a different mechanism underlying the game of a coin toss than that of the photon's polarization, see for example Dirac [5].

In finance theory the binomial market is a useful and very popular technique for pricing a stock option, in which only one risky asset is binomial. Although the binomial market is very ideal model, a realistic model may be assumed to be composed of a much large numbers of binomial markets. This is the assumption that underlies a widely used numerical procedure first proposed by Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein [4], in which Bernoulli's random variables are used to describe the only one risky asset. There seems to be *a prior*

*E-mail: zqchen@wipm.ac.cn

no reason why the binomial market must be modelled by using the Bernoulli's random variables, even though the binomial market is a hypothesis and ideal model.

In this paper, a quantum model for the binomial market is proposed. We show that its risk-neutral world exhibits an intriguing structure as a disk in the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^3$, whose radius is a function of the risk-free interest rate with two thresholds which prevent arbitrage opportunities from this quantum market. Moreover, from the quantum point of view we re-deduce the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula by considering Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics of the system of N distinguishable particles. Therefore, it seems that it is of some interest that we use quantum financial models in finance theory. Indeed, some mathematical methods on applications of quantum mechanics to finance have been presented in [2, 3], including quantum trading strategies, quantum hedging, and quantum version of no-arbitrage.

2 The quantum model of binomial markets

A binomial market is formed by a bank account $B = (B_0, B_1)$ and some stock of price $S = (S_0, S_1)$. We assume that the constants B_0 and S_0 are positive and

$$B_1 = B_0(1 + r), \quad S_1 = S_0(1 + R), \tag{1}$$

where the interest rate r is a constant ($r > -1$) and the volatility rate R takes two values a and b with

$$-1 \leq a < r < b. \tag{2}$$

A is uncertain and has two outcomes and, so does S_1. As said above, there are at least two models for describing S_1, one is Bernoulli's model while another is quantum mechanical one. For reader's convenience, we include here somewhat details on the Bernoulli's model of the binomial market (B, S).

In fact, the simplest probabilistic model for S_1 is that $\Omega = \{1 + a, 1 + b\}$ with a probability distribution P. Assume that

$$p = P\{S_1 = S_0(1 + b)\} = P\{R = b\} > 0. \tag{3}$$

It is natural to interpret the variable p as the probability of an up movement in the stock price. The variable $1 - p$ is then the probability of a down movement. It is easy to check that there is a unique risk-neutral measure M on Ω such that

$$E_M[R] = bM\{R = b\} + aM\{R = a\} = r,$$

that is,

$$M\{R = b\} = \frac{r - a}{b - a}, \quad M\{R = a\} = \frac{b - r}{b - a}. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the risk-neutral world of the classical model for the binomial market has only one element M.

Given an option on the stock whose current price is C. We suppose that the payoff from the option is C_b when the stock price moves up to $S_0(1+b)$, while the payoff is C_a when the stock price moves down to $S_0(1+a)$. It is well known that by making the portfolio riskless one has that

$$C = \frac{1}{1+r}\left(\frac{r-a}{b-a}C_b + \frac{b-r}{b-a}C_a\right). \tag{5}$$

This states that the value of the option today is its expected future value with respect to the risk-neutral measure M discounted at the risk-free rate.

This result is an example of an important general principle in option known as *risk-neutral valuation.* It means that for the purposes of valuing an option (or any other derivative) we can assume that the expected return from all traded securities is the risk-free interest rate, and that future cash flows can be valued by discounting their expected values at the risk-free interest rate. We will make use of this principle in quantum domain in the sequel.

However, a classical view, dating back to the times of J.Bernoulli and C.Huygens, is that the expected future value with respect to P discounted at the risk-free rate

$$\overline{C} = \frac{1}{1+r}\left(pC_b + (1-p)C_a\right)$$

could be a reasonable price of such an option (see for example [9]). It should be emphasized, however, that this quantity depends essentially on our assumption on the value p.

Therefore, it is surprising and seems counterintuitive that the option pricing formula in equation (5) does not involve the probabilities of the stock price moving up or down in the classical case. It is natural to assume that, as the probability of an upward movement in the stock price increases, the value of a call option on the stock increases and the value of a put option on the stock decreases. An explanation on this issue is presented in [7, p205]. However, we would like to point out that in the following quantum model this does not happen.

In order to propose the quantum model of the binomial market (B, S), we consider the Hilbert space $\mathbf{C}^2$ with its canonical basis

$$|0>= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |1>= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where σ_x, σ_y, and σ_z are the well-known Pauli spin matrices of quantum mechanics. (See [8] for details.) Set

$$R = \frac{a+b}{2}I_2 + x_0\sigma_x + y_0\sigma_y + z_0\sigma_z, \tag{6}$$

which takes two values a and b, where x_0, y_0 and z_0 are all real numbers such that

$$x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.$$

In this case, a quantum model for the binomial market (B, S) is presented.

By the risk-neutral valuation, all individuals are indifferent to risk in a risk-neutral world, and the return earned on the stock must equal the risk-free interest rate. Thus, the risk-neutral world of the quantum model (B, S) consists of faithful states ρ on $\mathbf{C}^2$ satisfying

$$tr\rho R = r. \tag{7}$$

Given

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(wI_2 + x\sigma_x + y\sigma_y + z\sigma_z) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} w+z & x-iy \\ x+iy & w-z \end{pmatrix},$$

which takes two values

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(w - \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}\right), \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(w + \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}\right).$$

Then, ρ is a faithful state if and only if $tr\rho = 1$ and $\lambda_1 > 0$. This concludes that $w = 1$ and

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1.$$

Then, by equation (7) one concludes that the risk-neutral world of the quantum binomial model consists of states of the form

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(I_2 + x\sigma_x + y\sigma_y + z\sigma_z), \tag{8}$$

where all (x, y, z) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1, \\ x_0 x + y_0 y + z_0 z = r - \frac{a+b}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

which is a disk of radius $\sqrt{1 - \frac{(2r-a-b)^2}{(b-a)^2}}$ in the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^3$. Moreover, the quantum binomial model is arbitrage-free if and only if $-1 \le a < r < b$.

Equations (8) and (9) characterize the risk-neutral world of the quantum binomial market. In contrast to the classical binomial market whose risk-neutral world consists of only one element M in (4), this quantum risk-neutral world has infinite elements. It is open and its size depends on the risk-free rate r, which attains the maximum at $r = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

By (7) under any risk-neutral state ρ the probabilities of that R takes value b and a are $\frac{r-a}{b-a}$ and $\frac{b-r}{b-a}$ respectively, the current price of the option on the stock is thus C in (5) by the risk-neutral valuation. Therefore, we obtain the same result by using the quantum model without assuming the probabilities of the stock price moving up or down as in the classical case.

A more concrete example is the European call option in the quantum binomial market with the exercise price K. Its payoff is of the form

$$H = (S_1 - K)^+,$$

which takes two values

$$h_a = \max(0, S_0(1+a) - K), \quad h_b = \max(0, S_0(1+b) - K).$$

Thus, the option value C today is

$$C = \frac{1}{1+r} tr[\rho H] = \frac{1}{1+r}\left(\frac{b-r}{b-a}h_a + \frac{r-a}{b-a}h_b\right) \tag{10}$$

for all states ρ in the risk-neutral world.

3 Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula via quantum mechanics

In the early 1970s F.Black, M.Scholes, and R.C.Merton made a major breakthrough in the pricing of stock options, see [1] and [6]. This involved the development of what has become known as the Black-Scholes model, in which the famous "Black-Scholes Option Pricing Formula" was derived. In 1979 J.C.Cox, S.A.Ross, and M.Rubinstein [4] presented a widely used numerical procedure for the Black-Scholes option pricing formula, by dividing the life of the option into a large number of small time intervals. They argued that the Black-Scholes model is the limitation of models of a much large numbers of small binomial markets, in which the famous Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula was found. From the physical view of point we find that J.C.Cox, S.A.Ross, and M.Rubinstein [4] used the classical model of multi-period binomial markets for obtaining their formula. In the following we will use a quantum model of multi-period binomial markets to re-deduce the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula.

Consider Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics of the system of N distinguishable particles of two-level energies a and b. The mathematical model is then as following: Let $\mathbf{H}_n = (\mathbf{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and write

$$|\varepsilon_1 ... \varepsilon_n >= |\varepsilon_1 > \otimes ... \otimes |\varepsilon_n >, \quad \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n = 0, 1.$$

Then, $\{|\varepsilon_1 ... \varepsilon_n >: \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n = 0, 1\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbf{H}_n$. Given $-1 \leq a < r < b$, we define a N-period quantum binomial market (B, S) with $B = (B_0, B_1, ..., B_N)$ and $S = (S_0, S_1, ..., S_N)$ as following:

$$B_n = B_0(1+r)^n, \quad S_n = S_0 \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} (1 + R_j) \otimes I_{N-n}, \quad n = 1, ..., N, \tag{11}$$

where the constants B_0 and S_0 are positive, I_{N-n} is the identity on $\mathbf{H}_{N-n}$ and,

$$R_j = \frac{a+b}{2} I_2 + x_{0j}\sigma_x + y_{0j}\sigma_y + z_{0j}\sigma_z,$$

where

$$x_{0j}^2 + y_{0j}^2 + z_{0j}^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$$

for all $j = 1, ..., N$.

Consider European call options in the N-period quantum binomial market (B, S). Its payoff is

$$H_N = (S_N - K)^+,$$

where K is the exercise price of the European call option. There are N orthonormal bases $\{(u_j, v_j), j = 1, ..., N\}$ in $\mathbf{C}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= S_0 \bigotimes_{j=1}^N (1 + R_j) \\ &= S_0 \bigotimes_{j=1}^N \left[(1+b)|u_j >< u_j| + (1+a)|v_j >< v_j|\right] \\ &= S_0 \sum_{n=0}^N (1+b)^n (1+a)^{N-n} \left[\sum_{|\sigma|=n} \bigotimes_{j=1}^N |w_{j\sigma} >< w_{j\sigma}|\right] \end{aligned}$$

where all σ are subsets of $\{1, ..., N\}$, $w_{j\sigma} = u_j$ for $j \in \sigma$ or $w_{j\sigma} = v_j$ otherwise. Hence

$$(S_N - K)^+ = \sum_{n=0}^N \left[S_0(1+b)^n(1+a)^{N-n} - K\right]^+ \left[\sum_{|\sigma|=n} \bigotimes_{j=1}^N |w_{j\sigma} >< w_{j\sigma}|\right].$$

Since the N distinguishable particles are all free, all states of the form

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^N \rho_j = \frac{1}{2^N} \bigotimes_{j=1}^N (I_2 + x_j\sigma_x + y_j\sigma_y + z_j\sigma_z) \tag{12}$$

are faithful risk-neutral states of the N-period quantum binomial market (B, S), where (x_j, y_j, z_j) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} x_j^2 + y_j^2 + z_j^2 < 1, \\ x_{0j}x_j + y_{0j}y_j + z_{0j}z_j = r - \frac{a+b}{2}, \end{cases}$$

for every $j = 1, ..., N$. Moreover, by using the Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, one has that

$$tr\left[\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^N \rho_j\right) \sum_{|\sigma|=n} \bigotimes_{j=1}^N |w_{j\sigma} >< w_{j\sigma}|\right] = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} q^n (1-q)^{N-n} \tag{13}$$

for $n = 0, 1, ..., N$, where $q = \frac{r-a}{b-a}$.

Therefore, by the principle of risk-neutral valuation, the price C_0^N at time 0 of the European call option $(S_N - K)^+$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^N &= (1+r)^{-N} tr\left[\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^N \rho_j\right)(S_N - K)^+\right] \\ &= (1+r)^{-N} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} q^n (1-q)^{N-n} \left[S_0(1+b)^n(1+a)^{N-n} - K\right]^+ \\ &= S_0 \sum_{n=\tau}^N \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} q^n (1-q)^{N-n} \frac{(1+b)^n(1+a)^{N-n}}{(1+r)^N} \\ &\quad -K(1+r)^{-N} \sum_{n=\tau}^N \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} q^n (1-q)^{N-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where τ is the first integer n for which

$$S_0(1+b)^n(1+a)^{N-n} > K.$$

Now observe that using $q = \frac{r-a}{b-a}$ and

$$q' = q\frac{1+b}{1+r}$$

we obtain $0 < q' < 1$ so that we can finally write the fair price for the European call option in this multi-period quantum binomial pricing model as

$$C_0^N = S_0\Psi(\tau; N, q') - K(1+r)^{-N}\Psi(\tau; N, q) \tag{14}$$

where Ψ is the complementary binomial distribution function, that is,

$$\Psi(m; n, p) = \sum_{j=m}^{n} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} p^j (1-p)^{n-j}.$$

This is just the *Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula.*

4 Conclusion

The binomial markets are hypothesis and very imprecise models. It was J.C.Cox, S.A.Ross, and M.Rubinstein [4] who concluded that a realistic model can be regarded as a limitation of a much large numbers of small binomial markets. Their approach to the binomial markets is by using classical probability methods. However, in nature there are some binomial problems which cannot be described by classical random variables. For example, photon's polarization must be described by quantum mechanics. This indicates that we may interrupt the binomial markets as some quantum models. We have shown that the risk-neutral world of the quantum binomial markets exhibits an intriguing structure as a disk in the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^3$, whose radius is a function of the risk-free interest rate with two thresholds which prevent arbitrage opportunities from this quantum market. Moreover, we re-deduce the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein binomial option pricing formula by considering Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics of the system of N distinguishable particles as a model of the multi-period binomial markets.

We would like to mention that besides the Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, there are Bose-Einstein statistics of the system of N identical particles in quantum mechanics. When consider a many-particle system satisfying Bose-Einstein statistics as a model of the multi-period binomial markets, we will give another binomial option pricing formula as following.

Indeed, set

$$S_N = S_0(1+R)^{\hat{\otimes}N},\ R = \frac{a+b}{2}I_2 + x_0\sigma_x + y_0\sigma_y + z_0\sigma_z,$$

where $x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$. Given any risk-neutral state ρ of the binomial market, from the quantum view of point it is easy to see that $\rho^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ is a risk-neutral state of the identical particle model of the multi-period binomial markets. Then, by using the risk-neutral valuation we conclude from the Bose-Einstein statistics that the price C^N today of the European call option $(S_N - K)^+$ in the present model is

$$\begin{aligned} C^N &= (1+r)^{-N} tr \left[\rho^{\hat{\otimes} N} (S_N - K)^+ \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r)^N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\frac{q^n (1-q)^{N-n}}{\sum_{k=0}^{N} q^k (1-q)^{N-k}} \right) \left[S_0 (1+b)^n (1+a)^{N-n} - K \right]^+ . \end{aligned}$$

We hope that this binomial option pricing formula will be found to be useful in finance, since the Bose-Einstein statistics plays a crucial role in quantum mechanics as the same as the Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics.

Acknowledgments. This paper was revised during the author visited Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The author is very grateful to Professor Shouyang Wang for his comments and advice.

References

1 F.Black, M.Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, *Journal of Political Economy,* 1973, **81**: 637-659

2 Zeqian Chen, The meaning of quantum finance (in Chinese), *Acta Mathematica Scientia,* 2003, **23**A(1): 115-128

3 Zeqian Chen, Quantum finance: The finite dimensional case, www.arxiv.org/quant-ph/0112158

4 J.C.Cox, S.A.Ross, M.Rubinstein, Option pricing: a simplified approach, *Journal of Finance Economics,* 1979, **7**(3): 229-263

5 P.A.M.Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics,* Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1958

6 R.C.Merton, *Continuous-time Finance,* Basil Black-Well, Cambridge, MA, 1990

7 J.C.Hull, *Options, Futures and Other Derivatives,* 4th Edition, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Prentice, 2000

8 K.P.Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus,* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992

9 A.N.Shiryaev, *Essentials of Stochastic Finance* (*Facts, Models, Theory*), World Scientific, Singapore, 1999